## Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected Supplemental								
LRB Number <b>09-4545/2</b>	Introduction Number SB-664								
<b>Description</b> Financial assistance under the Clean Water Fund Program and the Safe Drinking Water Loan Program									
Fiscal Effect									
Appropriations Re	rease Existing venues Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget venues INO Decrease Costs								
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts									
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date								
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 4/13/2010								

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 4/13/2010

LRB Number 09-4545/2	Introduction Number	SB-664	Estimate Type	Original				
Description								
Financial assistance under the Clean Water Fund Program and the Safe Drinking Water Loan Program								

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill provides temporary authority to DNR and DOA for providing principal forgiveness to applicants of the Clean Water Fund Program (CWFP) and the Safe Drinking Water Loan Program (SDWLP). It allows DNR to establish a maximum amount of the total available principal forgiveness that any municipality may receive. The bill also allows DNR and DOA to consider median household income, population and green project components in determining which municipalities may receive State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2011 funding.

State Fiscal Effect

#### A. Principal Forgiveness

The bill itself does not increase or decrease the amount of federal funds the State will receive from the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2010 Appropriations Act. However, it does impact the timing of Wisconsin's receipt of those funds. Passage of the principal forgiveness component to the bill during the current legislative session will enable Wisconsin to receive and utilize 100% of its FFY 2010 capitalization grants during SFY 2011. By contrast, if passage is delayed until the next legislative session, Wisconsin will have to apply for approximately 80% (\$63.2 million) rather than 100% (\$78,482,000) of its FFY 2010 capitalization grant dollars, and request the remaining 20% (\$15.3 million) of the grant dollars in Calendar Year (CY) 2011. Finally, if the principal forgiveness component to the bill is never passed, Wisconsin will lose approximately \$15.3 million of its FFY 2010 capitalization grants.

#### B. Green Infrastructure

The FFY 2010 Appropriations Act also requires that 20% of the available capitalization grant funds must be used for green infrastructure, water and energy efficiency and environmentally innovative projects. DNR intends to ensure this percentage is met by writing bypass procedures to use when committing available funds on the SFY 2011 funding list. Without passage of SB 664, which allows DNR to consider additional factors when determining which municipalities will receive funding, Wisconsin may not be able to fund enough "green" projects to fully utilize the approximately \$15.7 million that must be reserved for these projects. This means that Wisconsin could lose up to 20% of the capitalization grants if bypass procedures cannot be used to get to "green" projects.

#### Local Fiscal Effect

The bill itself has no local fiscal impact. However, if SB 664 is not passed this legislative session, there would most likely be some negative local fiscal effects. Uncertainty regarding availability of principal forgiveness would likely cause some municipalities to delay their needed infrastructure projects because they don't feel their projects are affordable without principal forgiveness assistance. Delaying construction typically means the municipality will incur additional costs due to: the problem to be solved becoming worse during the delay; inflation causing construction cost increases; or being charged additional debt service due to extending the term of interim financing for the project.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB	LRB Number <b>09-4545/2</b>				Introduction Number SB-664			
<b>Descri</b> Financ Progra	cial assistance un	der the C	lean Water F	und Progran	n and the Safe	Drinking V	Vater Loan	
I. One- annua	-time Costs or R Ilized fiscal effec	evenue   ;t):	impacts for s	State and/or	Local Govern	ment (do	not include in	
II. Ann	nualized Costs:				Annualized Fi	iscal Impa	act on funds from:	
					Increased Cost	is	Decreased Costs	
A. Sta	te Costs by Cate	∍gory						
Stat	e Operations - Sa	alaries an	ıd Fringes		\$	\$	\$	
(FTE	E Position Change	es)						
State	e Operations - Ot	ther Cost	S					
Loca	al Assistance							
Aids	to Individuals or	Organiza	ations					
T	OTAL State Cost	ts by Ca	tegory			\$	\$	
B. Sta	te Costs by Sou	rce of Fu	unds					
GPF	₹							
FED	)							
PRO	D/PRS							
SEG	S/SEG-S							
	ite Revenues - C ues (e.g., tax inc					or decrea	ase state	
<u></u>					Increased Re	·V	Decreased Rev	
$\vdash$	R Taxes					δ	\$	
<del></del>	R Earned							
FED	)							
PRO	D/PRS							
	S/SEG-S							
T	OTAL State Reve	enues			\$	<b>&gt;</b>	\$	
			NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT			
					Stat	е	Local	
NET C	HANGE IN COST	rs			\$	ò	\$	
NET C	HANGE IN REVE	ENUE			\$	ò	\$	
Agenc	cy/Prepared By			Authorized	Signature		Date	
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794					4/13/2010			